A Stratified Model of Art History from Cave Walls to Quantum States

Artist Kenji Kojima + Anonymous AIs

Introduction

Art history has long been written through the lenses of style, region, ideology, religion, patronage, or political transformation. From Vasari's biographical narratives to modernist formalism, from Marxist historiography to postcolonial critique, the dominant frameworks have sought to explain why artists made what they made within specific historical contexts. These approaches remain indispensable. Yet they share an implicit assumption: that art history is primarily a history of meaning.

This essay proposes a different emphasis. It asks not first what artworks mean, nor which ideology they represent, but under what material and technical conditions they become possible at all.

The shift is subtle but decisive. Instead of treating art history as a succession of styles or discourses, we may consider it as a stratified accumulation of conditions of possibility. Each major transformation in art coincides with the acquisition—almost inevitably—of new materials, new supports, new tools, new technical systems. These do not merely influence artistic production; they delimit and enable it. When a new material layer becomes available, a new expressive horizon opens. When that layer stabilizes, artistic practice reorganizes around it.

In this model, art history resembles geology more than narrative. It is sedimentary. Layers accumulate. None entirely disappear. Cave walls remain beneath canvas; canvas remains beneath photography; photography beneath film; film beneath digital computation. Each stratum persists, even as new ones are added above.

This stratification operates along three intertwined axes.

First, there is time—not as a linear march of progress, but as the sequential opening of material conditions. What matters is not simply chronology but the order in which certain technical thresholds are crossed: the fixation of the image, its mobility, its automation, its temporal decomposition, its numerical encoding, and potentially, its quantum indeterminacy.

Second, there is the physical layer: the concrete supports and mechanisms that make images possible. Limestone walls, mineral pigments, stretched canvas, oil binders, light-sensitive chemicals, celluloid strips, semiconductor circuits, binary transistors, and perhaps qubits. These are not neutral carriers of content. They shape what can be seen, made, stored, circulated, and remembered.

Third, there is an abstract axis: the transformation of perceptual and logical conditions. With each new layer, new modes of relation become thinkable. The image can persist beyond the body; it can travel; it can generate itself; it can manipulate time; it can become data; it can reduce expression to discrete selection; it may soon inhabit probabilistic superposition. These are not merely technical shifts but epistemic ones.

To speak of art as a "sensor of historical direction" is not to attribute prophetic powers to artists. It is to recognize that artists often operate at the threshold where new material conditions begin to reorganize perception. Before technologies become culturally ubiquitous, they appear as artistic experiments. Art senses the instability of the present layer and registers the pressure of the next.

Such a perspective avoids two simplifications. It is not strictly anthropocentric, because it does not reduce artistic transformation to changing human desires or ideologies. Nor is it purely technocentric,

because materials and devices do not determine artworks automatically. Rather, art emerges where human intentionality intersects with available material systems. The relationship is reciprocal: humans shape tools, but tools reshape the horizon of expression.

This essay develops a stratified model of art history extending from Paleolithic cave painting to the speculative horizon of quantum computation. It traces the opening of successive layers: the cave wall as surface of fixation; the canvas as mobile support; the photographic plate as mechanical eye; the film strip as temporal apparatus; the digital processor as computational substrate; the binary bit as minimal decision; and the quantum state as probabilistic potential.

The argument is not that history culminates in the present, nor that binary computation represents an endpoint. On the contrary, each layer is provisional. No layer is "completed" from within. Only retrospectively, when a new stratum has stabilized above it, can we perceive the contours of the previous one. What appears dominant in one era becomes foundational in the next.

To reframe art history in this way is to shift emphasis from stylistic succession to material thresholds, from iconography to infrastructure, from representation to condition. It invites us to reconsider canonical works not merely as expressions of their time, but as manifestations of newly acquired capacities—capacities that quietly reorganize what art can be.

From cave walls to quantum states, art does not simply mirror history. It inhabits the layers through which history becomes materially thinkable.

Chapter I

The Cave Layer — Fixation of the Image

The origin of art, if we follow the archaeological record, does not begin with representation in the abstract but with adhesion. Colored earth mixed with water adheres to limestone walls. Hands touching the rock surface leave stenciled traces. The artist's attachment precedes perspective, narrative, and authorship.

The Paleolithic caves of Lascaux and Altamira do not simply present early examples of figurative depiction; they establish a fundamental technical condition: the fixation of the image to a surface that exceeds the body. The limestone wall becomes a support capable of receiving and preserving marks across time. Earth and mineral pigments—ochre, red clay, iron oxide, charcoal, and manganese—bond to the rock. The gesture becomes durable.

This durability is the decisive threshold.

The Wall as Support

In the cave, the wall is not yet a neutral background. It is irregular, convex, concave, fissured. The image conforms to it, negotiates it, sometimes incorporates its relief into the body of an animal. The surface is already active. Art does not invent the surface; it encounters it.

The cave wall is immobile and architectural. Unlike later stretched canvas, it cannot circulate. The image is site-bound. To see it, one must enter a specific geological formation. The spatial condition is ritualized and restricted. The image belongs to a place.

The abstract condition that emerges here is therefore not "representation" in a modern sense but persistence. The image can survive its painter. It can remain in darkness until re-encountered. It is no longer dependent on memory alone.

If memory is internal and fragile, the wall is external and resistant. Art begins as an externalization of memory into matter.

Light and Movement*

The cave image is not static in experience. Though fixed to stone, it is perceived under shifting illumination—torchlight flickers, shadows move, the viewer's body advances and retreats. The animals seem to tremble. Motion is not depicted as continuous sequence, but as potential animation activated by light and bodily movement.

This is a crucial paradox: the first technical achievement of art is fixation, yet the perceptual experience is dynamic. The image is anchored physically but activated temporally.

Here we encounter the earliest configuration of a structural tension that will reappear throughout art history: the tension between permanence and animation. The cave establishes the possibility that an image may remain materially stable while its perceptual life fluctuates.

The Body and the Trace*

The handprints in caves—negative silhouettes produced by blowing pigment around the hand pressed against rock—are among the most direct manifestations of bodily inscription. They are neither portraits nor

symbolic representations. They are indices: the physical presence of a body that was once there.

In this sense, the cave inaugurates what might be called the indexical condition of art. The image is not merely a sign; it is a trace. The pigment's adhesion is evidence of contact. The body is absent, yet materially implied.

Later technologies will radicalize this indexical relation—most notably photography*—but the principle begins here. The cave wall receives and preserves contact. The technical act of adhesion creates a new ontological status: the image as residue.

Spatial Enclosure and Threshold

The cave is an interior space separated from daylight. To encounter its images requires descent, transition, ritualized passage. This spatial condition shapes the ontology of the image. It is not public spectacle but enclosed revelation.

The enclosure establishes another foundational condition: art as threshold experience. One must cross from ordinary space into marked space. The cave image is inseparable from the architecture that houses it.

In later centuries, canvas will detach the image from architectural immobility; photography will detach it from manual gesture; cinema will detach it from static time; digital systems will detach it from material substrate. But the cave stage establishes the image as fundamentally site-bound.

Fixation as Historical Event

Why describe this stage as a "layer"?

Because fixation, once achieved, never disappears from art history. All subsequent developments presuppose it. Even in the age of digital images, when files circulate without visible support, some form of storage —solid-state memory, magnetic domains, optical discs—fixes data to matter. The principle of adhesion persists.

The cave layer is thus not primitive in a hierarchical sense; it is foundational in a structural sense. It marks the first acquisition of a capacity that persists beneath all later art strata.

To fix an image to a surface is to establish a temporal contract. The maker inscribes the present into a material that outlasts him or her. Art becomes an extension of human duration into geological time.

From Geological Surface to Digital Surface

In contemporary practice, the surface may no longer be limestone but a screen, a sensor, or a matrix of pixels. Yet the conceptual problem remains analogous: how does an image attach to a substrate, and what is the nature of that substrate?

When a digital image appears on a screen, it is often imagined as immaterial. But it is fixed—however temporarily—in memory registers, voltage states, semiconductor architectures. The fixation is microscopic, electrical rather than mineral, yet structurally homologous.

To revisit the cave layer today is not to imitate Paleolithic motifs. It is to interrogate fixation itself. What does it mean to attach an image to a support when that support of the digital is no longer visible stone? When

the surface is code, is the image truly detached, or merely re-anchored at another scale?

The cave teaches that art begins not with style but with adhesion. The gesture adheres. The trace persists. The surface receives.

The First Threshold

If we consider art history as a sequence of acquired conditions, the cave marks the first threshold: the ability to externalize vision into durable matter. Without this threshold, no later transformation would be conceivable.

The autonomy of canvas presupposes fixation.
The automation of photography presupposes fixation.
The temporal editing of cinema presupposes fixation.
The encoding of digital data presupposes fixation.

Each new layer reorganizes the conditions of adhesion but does not abolish it.

Thus, the cave layer remains present beneath every screen and every algorithm. It is the geological memory of art: the moment when image and surface first entered into a durable alliance.

From this alliance emerges the entire stratified structure of art history.

* Perceptual considerations: how low light and three-dimensional walls interact to shape visual

_____

experience. https://nautil.us/early-humans-made-animated-art-234819/?
utm_source=chatgpt.com

* Oldest cave painting of red claw hand could rewrite human creativity timeline   https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/czx1pnlzer5o

* A rayograph is a cameraless, unique photograph created by Man Ray starting in 1921, produced by placing objects directly onto photosensitive paper and exposing them to light.

Chapter II

The Canvas and Oil Layer — Mobility and Autonomy

If the cave inaugurates the fixation of the image to an immobile surface, the emergence of canvas and oil painting marks a second decisive threshold: the liberation of the image from architecture. The wall remains, but it is no longer necessary. The support becomes transportable. The image detaches from geological permanence and enters circulation.

This transformation is not merely stylistic. It is infrastructural.

From Altar Panel to Cloth

The transition from wall to canvas was neither abrupt nor linear. Between the immobility of frescoed* architecture and the portability of stretched cloth stands an essential intermediary: the painted wooden panel.

In the Byzantine tradition and throughout medieval Europe, sacred images were executed with egg tempera on stretched linen panels. These panels were often inserted into altarpieces—physically fitted into ecclesiastical structures. While technically portable, they remained architecturally integrated. The panel painting did not yet possess full autonomy; it functioned as a component within liturgical space.

Egg tempera—pigment bound with egg yolk—produces a fast-drying, matte, and highly controlled surface. The technique encourages precision and linear clarity. Brushstrokes are kept to a minimum, and tones are expressed through fine hatching rather than blending. The surface aspires to immateriality. Flesh does not appear fleshy; it appears illuminated from within. The goal is not optical realism but spiritual presence.

The abstraction of the tempera surface is therefore not stylistic limitation but theological intention. The image does not simulate living skin; it reveals divine essence. The absence of visible brushwork suppresses the trace of the artist's hand, reinforcing the icon's authority as a sacred mediator rather than an individual expression.

Yet the very suppression of brush trace exposes a technical constraint. Egg tempera dries rapidly and does not easily allow smooth tonal transitions. As European painters increasingly pursued naturalistic representation—particularly in portraiture—this limitation became decisive.

The development and gradual adoption of oil as a binding medium addressed precisely this problem. Oil dries slowly. It allows blending. It permits glazes. It can render subtle modulations of light across skin without visible hatching. The desire to eliminate visible brushstrokes in depictions of the human body ironically found a new technical solution in oil paint, countering the early aspiration for immateriality in tempera.

For a time, hybrid techniques emerged. Painters experimented with tempera underpainting combined with oil glazes. The structural clarity of tempera provided a stable base; the optical richness of oil animated the surface. This technical mixture represents not a simple replacement but a layered negotiation between media.

The consequences were profound. As oil technique matured, portraiture flourished. The ability to model flesh with convincing softness and depth transformed the representation of individuality. The face became not only symbolic but physiognomic. The skin could appear translucent; the gaze could shimmer with reflected light.

Thus, the evolution from tempera panel to oil painting is not merely a change in materials. It signals a shift in ontology: from icon to individual, from theological presence to embodied personhood.

Fresco, meanwhile, did not disappear. Wall painting continued well into the era of canvas and oil. Indeed, fresco retained a monumental authority precisely because of its architectural integration. But structurally, fresco remained bound to immobility. The wall determined the image's site.

Panel painting loosened this bond slightly, introducing partial portability while retaining liturgical embedding. Canvas completed the detachment. Cloth stretched over a frame no longer required insertion into architecture. It could hang, travel, accumulate provenance.

Large canvases, produced for maritime expansion during the Age of Discovery was durable and flexible. When primed and stretched, it became a support independent of any fixed structure. Oil paint adhered to this surface with a new fluidity, allowing the painting to become completely mobile.

Seen within the stratified model, the progression unfolds as follows:
• Fresco: painted with water-based pigments while the plaster on the wall was still wet, resulting in architecturally attached paintings.
• Tempera panel: image attached to movable wood yet structurally embedded in altar frameworks.
• Oil on canvas: Paintings that are completely freestanding, portable, and can be moved for sale.

Each stage preserves fixation while increasing independence from site. The adhesion of pigment persists; the support transforms.

The canvas-and-oil layer therefore emerges not simply from technical innovation but from a long negotiation between surface, medium, theology, embodiment, and trade. The shift from altar panel to cloth marks the moment when the image ceases to belong primarily to sacred architecture and begins to circulate within a broader social and economic field.

Autonomy is achieved gradually. The wall yields to wood; wood yields to cloth. The image, once immovable within stone, becomes a mobile object —capable of crossing oceans, entering markets, and participating in the construction of secular individuality.

The shift in substrate highlights a central principle of this art history: layering. No medium abolishes its predecessor outright. Fresco survives into the age of canvas. Tempera persists alongside oil. Each layer accumulates rather than vanishes.

What changes is the degree of mobility and autonomy the support affords. And with each increase in mobility, new forms of subjectivity and new social functions of art become possible.

---

* Frescoes are painted using a "wet" technique, with water-based pigments applied to semi-dry limestone plaster. Ancient cave paintings primarily use a dry technique, with pigments applied to limestone walls.

Chapter III

The Photographic Layer — Mechanical Vision

If the canvas-and-oil layer established the mobility and autonomy of the image, photography introduced a more radical transformation: the partial withdrawal of the human hand from image production. The image no longer depended entirely on manual inscription. It could be generated through a physical interaction between light and matter.

This marks a decisive structural shift.

Light as Agent

In the nineteenth century, with the experiments of Louis Daguerre and William Henry Fox Talbot, the image ceased to be primarily a deposit of pigment and became instead a chemical trace of light itself. A photosensitive surface—metal plate, paper coated with silver salts—reacted to exposure. The resulting image was not painted; it was imprinted by optical phenomena.

The abstract condition opened here is automation.

The photographic image appears as though it has made itself. The human operator selects framing and exposure, but the microstructure of the image—its granular detail—derives from physical processes beyond direct manual control. The brushstroke disappears. In its place: indexical registration.

Photography radicalizes the indexical logic first glimpsed in the cave handprint. But whereas the cave wall preserved contact between hand

and stone, photography preserves contact between light and surface. The trace is no longer tactile; it is optical.

The Displacement of Skill

This mechanization provoked anxiety in the nineteenth century precisely because it seemed to threaten the autonomy of painting. If light can inscribe itself automatically, what becomes of the painter's labor?

Yet from a stratified perspective, photography does not negate painting; it adds a new layer of possibility. Fixation persists. Mobility persists. But a new condition emerges: the image can be generated without manual rendering.

The photograph displaces artisanal skill from the act of mark-making to the orchestration of apparatus. The artist becomes operator. The machine mediates vision.

This mediation alters epistemology. The photograph is often perceived as objective, as evidence. Its authority stems from the belief that it records rather than interprets. Whether or not this belief is philosophically defensible, its cultural power reshapes visual culture.

Temporal Compression

Photography also compresses time. A fleeting moment can be fixed instantaneously. The exposure isolates a fragment of duration and stabilizes it.

Where oil painting required extended labor, photography could arrest a fraction of a second. This temporal precision transforms representation.

Movement becomes analyzable. Gesture becomes segmentable. The everyday becomes preservable.

The world appears as a sequence of capturable instants.

Mechanical Vision and Modernity

Photography's emergence coincides with industrialization, urbanization, and scientific rationalization. The camera embodies these tendencies: standardized apparatus, reproducible processes, chemical control.

The eye is no longer singular. It becomes technological.

Mechanical vision implies that perception can be externalized, objectified, and archived. Images accumulate in archives, newspapers, identification documents. The photograph participates in surveillance, documentation, and mass circulation.

Thus the photographic layer extends the autonomy of the image into a regime of reproducibility. The image is not merely movable; it is multiplicable.

Photography Within the Stratified Model

Within the layered structure of art history, photography introduces:
• Automated image production
• Optical indexicality
• Instantaneous temporal capture
• Mass reproducibility

Yet the underlying principle of fixation remains. The silver halide crystal binds light into matter. Adhesion persists—now at a chemical scale.

Painting does not vanish. On the contrary, photography forces painting to redefine itself. Impressionism intensifies attention to perception; modernism interrogates the surface. Each layer pressures those beneath it.

Photography's mechanical vision destabilizes the human monopoly on image creation. The camera sees differently—through lens distortion, depth of field, shutter speed. The apparatus reshapes what counts as visible.

Archival Memory and Historical Trauma

Photography also transforms the relationship between image and historical memory. The photograph becomes evidence. It testifies to events that painting once mediated symbolically.

In my own work, projects such as Billy the Kid* and Great Depression* engage directly with photography's archival authority. Both bodies of work begin with historical photographs—documents already embedded within collective memory.

Billy the Kid draws upon one of the most reproduced images in American history: the tintype portrait attributed to the outlaw figure. That photograph, widely circulated, has functioned as both evidence and myth. By reworking and structurally transforming this image, I am not repainting history but interrogating the mechanical origin of its authority. What does it mean that a single photographic plate can define a cultural icon? How does reproduction amplify or distort that origin?

Similarly, Great Depression engages with documentary photographs of economic crisis—images that have come to stand in for collective

suffering. The camera's indexical power grants these images ethical weight. They are not allegories; they are traces of lived conditions.

In both projects, the goal is not nostalgia nor simple reinterpretation. It is structural analysis. By reprocessing photographic material—often through computational or binary translation—I expose the mechanical substrate of historical memory. The photograph is treated not as transparent truth but as data generated by apparatus.

Here, the photographic layer intersects with the digital and binary layers. Mechanical vision becomes computational material.

From Chemical to Computational Trace

Photography's automation prepares the ground for digital imaging. Once the image is understood as a physical trace generated by apparatus, it can be further abstracted into numerical representation.

The shift from silver halide crystals to pixel arrays does not eliminate the logic of mechanical vision; it extends it. The camera sensor replaces the chemical plate. Light is translated into electrical charge, then into binary code.

The stratified model thus reveals continuity rather than rupture. The photographic layer establishes that images can be machine-generated and indexically grounded. The digital layer encodes those images numerically. The binary layer reduces them to discrete states.

Persistence and Transformation

Despite digital dominance, photography as chemical process persists. Film photography continues to be practiced. Analog techniques survive as aesthetic choices. Layers accumulate; they do not vanish.

The photographic layer remains foundational to contemporary visual culture. Surveillance cameras, smartphones, satellite imaging—all inherit its logic of mechanical registration.

What changes is the scale and speed of production.

Conclusion

The photographic layer marks the emergence of mechanical vision—the ability of light, mediated by apparatus, to generate images automatically. This transformation alters authorship, temporality, reproducibility, and epistemology.

If the cave fixed the image and the canvas mobilized it, photography automates it.

In doing so, it shifts the locus of artistic agency from the hand to the system. The artist no longer inscribes directly; he or she configures apparatus, selects, frames, and later, in contemporary practice, reprocesses the resulting data.

The photographic image is not simply a picture. It is a material trace of light shaped by technology. It inaugurates a regime in which vision itself becomes mechanical—and therefore, eventually, computational.

Within this regime, art does not disappear. It adapts. It senses the structural transformation and probes its implications.

* In the 17th century, Johannes Vermeer was the most famous painter to achieve realistic, photographic lighting and composition using the camera obscura, which can be considered the prototype of photography.

* Project: Billy the Kid Triptych Binary Interpretation https://kenjikojima.com/BillyTheKid/

* The Great Depression Music created from visual data https://kenjikojima.com/GreatDepression/

Chapter IV

The Film Layer — Manipulation of Time

If photography introduced mechanical vision, cinema introduced mechanical time.

The photographic plate fixed a single instant. Film unbound the instant from isolation and reassembled it into sequence*. The decisive transformation of the cinematic layer lies not merely in moving images, but in the technical decomposition and reconstruction of duration itself.

From Instant to Sequence

Early experiments by figures such as Auguste Lumière and Georges Méliès did more than animate photographs. They established a new ontological condition: time could be segmented into discrete frames and then recomposed through projection.

A strip of celluloid contains a succession of still images. When advanced intermittently and illuminated at sufficient speed, these frames produce the illusion of continuity. Movement is not continuous; it is constructed.

This is the central technical principle of cinema:

Continuity emerges from discrete units.

The cinematic apparatus—camera, shutter, sprockets, projector—makes this segmentation possible. Time becomes divisible. It becomes measurable, rearrangeable, interruptible.

Where photography arrests time, cinema engineers it.

The Frame as Temporal Atom

In painting, the frame delimits space. In photography, the frame isolates an instant. In cinema, the frame becomes a temporal atom. Each frame is both an image and a unit of duration.

The film strip is thus a materialization of time. It converts duration into spatial extension—a ribbon of sequential moments. Time is laid out linearly, perforated, cuttable.

Editing introduces a further transformation. Once frames exist as discrete elements, they can be reordered. Narrative is no longer bound to real-time unfolding. Flashbacks, ellipses, parallel montage—these techniques reveal that time in cinema is constructed rather than merely recorded.

The abstract condition opened by the film layer is therefore:

Time is manipulable.

Projection and Collective Perception

Cinema also alters the spatial and social condition of viewing. Unlike the private contemplation of a painting or the individual handling of a photograph, film is projected in darkness before a collective audience.

The darkened theater echoes, structurally, the cave. Light animates surfaces in a controlled environment. Yet the similarity is inverted. In the cave, fixed images seem to move under flickering torchlight. In cinema, moving images are projected onto an immobile screen.

The apparatus produces a new form of shared temporality. Viewers experience synchronized duration. The image unfolds at a fixed speed determined by mechanical standards—16, 24, or more frames per second.

Time becomes standardized.

Montage and Modern Consciousness

The capacity to cut and splice film transforms not only storytelling but cognition. Montage reveals that meaning can emerge from juxtaposition. A face followed by an empty landscape suggests longing; the same face followed by a coffin suggests grief.

The sequence produces significance.

Cinema thus externalizes mental processes. Memory, anticipation, and association—once internal—are now constructed materially through editing.

In this sense, the film layer intensifies modernity's fragmentation of experience. Urban life, industrial rhythm, and mechanical repetition find structural analogues in cinematic sequence.

The Mechanical Body

Cinema also reconfigures the human body. Slow motion reveals gestures imperceptible to the naked eye. Time-lapse condenses hours into seconds. Close-ups magnify expression.

The camera sees differently than the eye. It can accelerate, decelerate, repeat.

The body becomes analyzable in motion.

If photography challenged the authority of manual depiction, cinema challenges the authority of lived duration. What feels continuous may be decomposed; what feels instantaneous may be extended.

Film Within the Stratified Model

Within the stratified structure of art history, the film layer contributes:
• Temporal segmentation
• Mechanical projection
• Editorial reconfiguration
• Collective synchronization
• Standardized duration

Fixation persists (frames adhere to celluloid). Mobility persists (film reels circulate). Automation persists (camera mechanisms operate mechanically). But now time itself becomes the substrate of artistic manipulation.

The film strip embodies an extraordinary conceptual shift: time is no longer merely experienced; it is engineered.

From Analog Sequence to Digital Frame

Cinema anticipates the logic of computation. The segmentation of duration into discrete frames parallels the later segmentation of images into pixels and data into bits.

Both systems rely on discrete units assembled into apparent continuity.

In analog film, frames are physical images on celluloid. In digital video, frames become arrays of numerical values. The underlying principle—decomposition and recomposition—remains structurally homologous.

The film layer thus stands as a bridge between mechanical vision and digital computation.

Historical Memory in Motion

Film also redefines historical documentation. Moving images of wars, revolutions, or daily life intensify the indexical authority first established by photography. Events are not only captured; they unfold before us.

Yet the manipulative potential of editing complicates this authority. What appears continuous may be constructed. The documentary and the fictional share the same technical substrate.

The image is no longer only a trace of light; it is a constructed flow of time.

Cinema and the Construction of Reality

As film matured, it developed techniques that shaped collective imagination: cross-cutting, deep focus, non-linear narrative. Cinema became not only a recording device but a system for modeling reality.

The moving image established a new expectation: that visual experience could simulate life's temporal unfolding.

Television and later digital streaming inherit this condition. Screens no longer present static images; they deliver time-based media as default.

Persistence of the Layer

Even in the digital era, the cinematic logic persists. Contemporary video files still consist of sequential frames. Editing software mirrors the physical cutting of film, albeit virtually.

The film layer remains active beneath contemporary moving-image culture. Its grammar—cuts, fades, dissolves—continues to structure perception.

Toward Frame-Based Discrete Time and Binary Logic

Perhaps most significantly, cinema habituates viewers to the idea that continuity can be constructed from discrete elements. This habituation prepares cultural consciousness for digital logic.

Just as movement arises from frames, digital images arise from pixels; digital processes arise from binary states.

Cinema naturalizes discretization.

It reveals that what appears continuous may be assembled from units. This principle becomes foundational in computational culture.

Conclusion

The film layer marks the historical moment when time itself becomes technically manipulable. Photography automated vision; cinema engineers duration.

Within the stratified model of art history, this layer adds a decisive dimension: the capacity to decompose, rearrange, and project time.

If the cave fixed the image, the canvas mobilized it, and photography automated it, cinema reorganized it temporally.

Time becomes material.

And once time can be segmented and reassembled, the path toward digital discretization—and ultimately binary reduction—becomes structurally imaginable.

The manipulation of time in cinema is therefore not an isolated development. It is a necessary threshold in the gradual transformation of images into sequences, sequences into data, and data into calculable states.

The film strip is not merely a technological innovation. It is a material diagram of modern temporality.

---

* Sequence means "continuity," "order," or "arrangement," and refers to a state in which a series of events or data are arranged according to a specific rule or procedure.

Chapter V

The Digital Layer — Image as Data

If cinema rendered time manipulable, the digital layer renders the image calculable.

The decisive transformation of the digital condition is not merely that images are displayed on screens, nor that they are transmitted through networks. It is that the image has ceased to be an optical or chemical trace and has become a numerical structure.

The image is no longer light fixed on surface.
It is information organized as code.

From Chemical Trace to Numerical Encoding

Photography inscribed light onto a photosensitive surface. Film extended this inscription across time. Both remained indexical: light physically altered material.

Digital imaging abolishes this continuity. Light striking a sensor is translated into electrical signals, then discretized into numerical values. Each pixel is assigned coordinates and intensity levels. Color becomes a set of quantized variables.

The structure is fundamentally matrix-based:

An image = a two-dimensional array of numbers.

For example, in simplified form:

Here, each coordinate (x, y) corresponds not to pigment or silver grain, but to a triplet of numerical values representing red, green, and blue intensity.

The digital image is therefore not primarily visual. It is symbolic. It exists as data before it appears as image.

Discretization and the End of Continuity

The cave wall was continuous.
The canvas surface was continuous.
The photographic emulsion was granular but materially continuous.
Film frames were discrete but analog.

The digital image is discretized at its foundation. It is composed of finite units: pixels. Each pixel is defined by numerical precision—8-bit, 16-bit, 32-bit values. The apparent continuity of tone emerges from quantization*.

The principle underlying this transformation is binary reduction:

All digital images ultimately reduce to binary states. Every color, every gradient, every shadow is encoded as sequences of zeros and ones.

The digital layer thus performs the most radical abstraction in the history of images:

It replaces material presence with logical structure.

The Collapse of Indexical Authority

In photography, the image bore a physical relationship to what stood before the lens. In cinema, movement preserved that indexical trace across duration.

Digital images sever this guarantee.

Because the image is numerical, it is infinitely modifiable without visible residue. Editing no longer leaves physical traces—no cuts in celluloid, no brushstrokes, no reworked pigment. Manipulation occurs at the level of data.

The digital file contains no privileged "original." Each version is a recomputation.

Authenticity becomes algorithmic rather than material.

The Screen as Universal Surface

With the digital layer, the distinction between media collapses. Painting, photography, film, typography, animation—all become data structures rendered through the same display matrix.

The screen replaces the wall, the canvas, and the projection surface. It is not a static support but a refreshable field of pixels.

Unlike the cave wall or stretched cloth, the screen does not permanently retain images. It continuously updates them. The surface itself is temporally dynamic.

The image no longer adheres to substrate. It exists as executable code.

Compression and Invisible Structure

Digital images are rarely stored in raw form. They are compressed. Algorithms eliminate redundancies, approximate values, and reduce file size.

What appears visually identical may be mathematically approximate.

Compression introduces a new aesthetic condition: perceptual optimization. The image is engineered for human thresholds of perception. That which the eye is unlikely to notice may be discarded.

Thus, the digital image is not merely encoded; it is calculated according to probabilistic models of vision.

The apparatus now anticipates the viewer.

Reproducibility Without Degradation

Walter Benjamin's analysis of mechanical reproduction centered on the loss of aura through replication. In analog reproduction, copies degraded.

Digital replication is exact. A file duplicated is indistinguishable from its source at the level of code. There is no generational loss.

Reproducibility reaches logical perfection.

Yet paradoxically, this perfection destabilizes uniqueness. Scarcity must now be artificially constructed—through encryption, blockchain verification, or institutional authority.

The digital layer thus separates originality from materiality.

Algorithmic Image Production

In earlier layers, tools extended human gestures: the brush extended the hand, the camera extended the eye, the projector extended temporal perception.

In the digital layer, algorithms can generate images without direct human intervention.

Procedural graphics, generative systems, and machine learning models produce images based on statistical inference and rule-based operations.

The artist's role shifts:

From image-maker to system-designer.

The image is no longer crafted stroke by stroke or frame by frame; it emerges from computational processes.

Networked Circulation

The digital image is inherently networkable. Unlike paintings that must be transported or films that require projection apparatus, digital files propagate instantaneously across global infrastructures.

Circulation becomes frictionless.

Images are no longer confined to singular sites. They exist in distributed environments—servers, clouds, personal devices. The image becomes simultaneously ubiquitous and immaterial.

Presence is replaced by access.

Data and Surveillance

Because digital images are data, they can be analyzed automatically. Facial recognition, pattern detection, and metadata extraction transform images into sources of information beyond their visible content.

The digital layer expands vision beyond human perception.

The camera no longer merely records; it participates in computational systems of classification and control. Images become inputs in algorithmic decision-making processes.

Thus, the digital layer introduces a political dimension distinct from previous strata:

Images become operational.

They do not simply represent; they act within systems.

Memory Without Material

In previous layers, images aged. Pigment cracked, emulsion faded, film decayed. The digital image does not age materially; it becomes obsolete technologically.

Its vulnerability lies not in physical deterioration but in format incompatibility, hardware dependency, and data corruption.

Preservation becomes migration.

Memory shifts from conservation of objects to maintenance of systems.

The Ontology* of the Digital Image

What, then, is a digital image?

It is not a surface.
It is not a trace.
It is not a projection.

It is a structured dataset capable of visual rendering.

Its ontology is dual:
• As code, it is abstract and invisible.
• As display, it is perceptual and experiential.

This duality introduces a new philosophical condition: the image has an underlying layer inaccessible to unaided perception.

The visible is an interface.

Continuity with Previous Layers

Despite its radical abstraction, the digital layer does not erase earlier strata. It incorporates them.

Cave painting's fixation becomes file storage.
Canvas mobility becomes network distribution.
Photographic automation becomes sensor capture.

Cinematic sequencing becomes frame-based video encoding.

Each previous layer survives, translated into data.

The digital condition is therefore not a rupture but a compression of history into code.

Toward Binary Aesthetics

At its deepest level, the digital image reduces to binary logic. Every complex visual field ultimately resolves into discrete electrical states.

This reduction does not impoverish the image; it reveals its computational substrate.

Where earlier layers depended on material processes—mineral, fiber, chemical emulsion, celluloid—the digital layer depends on logical operations.

The image becomes an event in a computational system.

Conclusion

The digital layer represents the most abstract transformation in the stratified history of images. It converts visual representation into numerical structure, material trace into symbolic code, and singular object into distributable data.

If the cave fixed the image, the canvas mobilized it, photography automated it, and film manipulated time, the digital layer renders the image calculable.

The image is no longer bound to surface or duration. It is defined by operations.

In this condition, art no longer negotiates primarily with matter or light, but with information. The artist operates within architectures of code, compression, and computation.

The image, once anchored in stone and pigment, now exists as patterned electricity—structured absence that becomes visible only when rendered.

It is not the end of image-making.

It is the beginning of image-processing as ontology.

---

* Quantization is the process of converting continuous data into discrete data.

* Ontology is a systematization that allows computers to understand and process knowledge.

Chapter VI

The Binary Layer — Exposure of 0 and 1

If the digital layer renders the image calculable, the binary layer exposes the condition of calculability itself.

The digital image appears as color, gradient, motion, resolution. Beneath these perceptual phenomena lies a deeper stratum: binary logic. At this layer, the image no longer exists even as a matrix of pixels or a field of RGB values. It exists as discrete electrical states.

The binary layer is not about representation.
It is about ontological minimalism.

From Data to Decision

In the digital layer, we described the image as numerical structure:

Yet each numerical value is stored as binary digits. Every integer, every floating-point value, every color channel decomposes into sequences of bits.

At its most elementary, the digital substrate reduces to:

Binary is not merely a technical convenience. It is a metaphysical reduction: presence and absence, on and off, voltage and no voltage.

The binary layer is the exposure of this reduction.

Where previous strata dealt with surfaces—stone, cloth, emulsion, screen —the binary layer deals with state transitions.

The Bit as Ontological Unit

The smallest unit of information is the bit. A bit does not represent an image; it represents a choice between two states. It is the minimal difference capable of being registered.

In this sense, the binary layer redefines the ontology of the image:

The image is no longer a field of color.
It is an accumulation of decisions.

Each pixel value is a composite of binary determinations. Each frame of video is an ordered structure of such determinations. Each file is a hierarchy of encoded states.

The visual field becomes a statistical arrangement of yes/no conditions.

Discontinuity as Foundation

The cave wall was continuous stone. The canvas offered continuous fiber. Oil paint enabled gradation. Film divided time but preserved analog continuity within each frame.

Binary abolishes continuity at the foundational level.

All gradation is simulated through quantization. All curves are approximated through discrete sampling. Even time, in digital systems, is clocked in pulses.

The continuous becomes an emergent illusion.

Binary logic enforces a structural discontinuity that underlies every digital phenomenon. It is not that the world is experienced as discrete; rather, it is processed as discrete.

Exposure Versus Concealment

Most digital interfaces conceal the binary substrate. High-resolution screens and smooth animations obscure the fact that beneath every image lies an immense sequence of bits.

The binary layer, however, is concerned with exposure.

To expose 0 and 1 is to reveal the abstraction underlying perception. It is to strip the image of illusionistic continuity and display its computational skeleton.

Such exposure recalls earlier historical gestures:
• The visible brushstroke in late oil painting revealed process.
• The montage cut in cinema revealed construction.
• Pixelation in early digital graphics revealed discretization.

The binary layer pushes further. It reveals not the pixel, but the bit.

Logic as Aesthetic Material

Binary is not merely technical infrastructure; it is logical structure. It operates according to Boolean algebra:

Logical conjunction, disjunction, negation—these operations structure computational systems. Every digital image ultimately passes through logical gates that determine its formation.

The binary layer therefore introduces a radical shift in artistic material:

Logic becomes medium.

The artist no longer manipulates pigment or light but conditional operations. Image-making becomes rule-making. Form becomes executable.

Temporality of Switching

Binary states are not static. They oscillate at high frequencies. The stability of digital images depends on rapid switching—billions of transitions per second in contemporary processors.

Thus, at the deepest layer, the image is dynamic before it is static.

The stability of the digital image is a temporal illusion sustained by switching speed. Beneath apparent stillness lies ceaseless fluctuation.

The binary layer reveals that what appears as fixed form is in fact stabilized oscillation.

Dematerialization and Re-Materialization

Binary abstraction seems to dematerialize the image entirely. Yet it re-materializes it in another form: electrical charge, semiconductor architecture, magnetic orientation.

The bit exists physically—as voltage difference, as electron configuration—but its physical embodiment is indifferent to the content it encodes.

Stone fixed the image materially.
Canvas supported pigment materially.
Film stored frames materially.
Binary stores difference materially but meaning abstractly.

Material support becomes interchangeable; logical structure persists.

Compression of History into Code

Within the stratified model of image history, the binary layer does not replace previous strata; it absorbs them.

Cave fixation becomes file storage.
Canvas mobility becomes network distribution.
Photographic index becomes sensor data.
Film sequencing becomes digital encoding.
Digital data becomes binary logic.

The binary layer is thus the compression of all prior visual technologies into a minimal formal system.

Everything reducible to image becomes reducible to bit.

Visibility of Infrastructure

To expose 0 and 1 is also to expose infrastructure.

Data centers, processors, circuits, transmission protocols—these are the new cathedrals of image production. The visible screen is only an interface. The real image exists in distributed hardware architectures operating beyond perception.

The binary layer invites a reconsideration of where the image "is."

It is not on the screen.
It is in the switching network.

This relocation destabilizes traditional notions of authorship and objecthood. The artwork becomes inseparable from computational environment.

Binary and the Question of Meaning

At the binary level, meaning is not inherent. A sequence of bits may represent an image, a sound file, a text, or executable code depending on interpretive protocol.

Binary sequences are indifferent to content.

Meaning emerges only through decoding systems—software, display devices, interpretive frameworks.

Thus, the binary layer reveals a profound shift:

Representation becomes contingent on interpretation rules.

The image is no longer tied to a stable medium. It is a potentiality awaiting execution.

Aesthetics of Reduction

The exposure of 0 and 1 produces an aesthetic of reduction. Complexity is understood as combinatorial expansion of minimal units.

A high-resolution digital photograph is not fundamentally different from a low-resolution bitmap; it differs only in scale and precision of binary arrangement.

The binary layer therefore aligns aesthetics with computation. Beauty, form, and representation become statistical distributions of discrete states.

The sublime is no longer located in vast landscapes or divine radiance, but in combinatorial magnitude—the astronomical number of possible bit configurations.

From Image to Information

In earlier layers, images mediated between world and viewer. In the binary layer, images become subsets of information systems.

Information supersedes representation.

This transformation carries epistemological consequences. Images are not merely seen; they are processed, transmitted, encrypted, analyzed, and stored within global networks.

The binary layer situates art within cybernetic systems.

The image becomes a node in informational circulation.

The Threshold of Artificial Agency

Because binary systems enable algorithmic computation, they also enable artificial decision-making processes. Image recognition, generative synthesis, and predictive modeling operate at the level of bit manipulation.

The exposure of 0 and 1 is therefore also the exposure of machine agency.

What once required human perception—classification, comparison, interpretation—can now be executed through binary operations at scale.

The binary layer destabilizes the exclusivity of human vision.

Ontological Minimalism

The progression from cave to binary can be read as progressive abstraction:
• Material fixation
• Portable surface
• Chemical automation
• Temporal manipulation
• Numerical encoding
• Logical reduction

The binary layer is the limit case. It is the minimal substrate capable of sustaining all previous forms.

0 and 1 are not images.
They are the conditions for images.

Conclusion

The binary layer represents the most fundamental exposure in the history of visual media. It reveals that beneath pigment, beneath emulsion, beneath pixels and data structures, lies a system of discrete logical states.

If the digital layer renders the image calculable, the binary layer renders calculation visible.

Here the image dissolves into difference. Continuity becomes construction. Meaning becomes protocol-dependent. Materiality becomes infrastructural.

The exposure of 0 and 1 is not merely technical revelation; it is ontological disclosure.

The history of the image, once grounded in stone and light, now culminates in switching logic.

At the deepest stratum of contemporary visual culture, art is no longer inscribed—it is computed.

And computation begins with the smallest possible distinction:

Zero.
One.

---

* Digital is a broad term for data represented in discrete, separate states.

• Binary is a numbering system with only two digits: 0 and 1 (base-2).

Chapter VII

The Quantum Horizon — Indeterminacy

If the binary layer exposes the minimal distinction between 0 and 1, the quantum horizon destabilizes even that distinction.

The progression from cave to binary has traced a movement toward increasing abstraction: from material fixation to logical reduction. At the binary layer, the image rests upon discrete states—on/off, true/false, voltage/no voltage. It appears that we have reached the ultimate foundation.

Yet physics suggests otherwise.

At sufficiently small scales, matter does not obey the determinism implied by binary logic. The deepest substrate of reality is not stable difference, but probabilistic indeterminacy.

The quantum horizon introduces a new conceptual threshold:

Even 0 and 1 are not fundamental.

Beyond Deterministic States

Binary computation presupposes stable states. A bit must be either 0 or 1. Logical operations depend upon this exclusivity.

Quantum mechanics complicates this certainty.

At microscopic scales, physical systems exist not in definite states but in superpositions—combinations of possibilities that only resolve upon measurement.

This condition is often represented formally as:

Here, a quantum state is not exclusively 0 or 1, but a linear combination of both, weighted by complex coefficients*. The system does not possess a definite value until interaction occurs.

The binary layer assumes ontological clarity.
The quantum horizon introduces ontological suspension.

Indeterminacy as Structure

Classical visual systems—painting, photography, film—depended upon determinable relations between object and image. Even digital systems rely on determinable computational processes.

Quantum theory disrupts determinism at the physical level. The uncertainty principle formalizes this disruption:

Position and momentum cannot both be known with arbitrary precision. Measurement itself alters the system.

At the quantum horizon, knowledge is constrained not by technological limitation but by structural indeterminacy.

This has profound implications for image ontology. If the deepest level of physical reality is probabilistic, then every technological substrate—binary circuits included—rests upon statistical stability rather than absolute determinacy.

From Bit to Qubit

In classical computation, the bit is the minimal unit. In quantum computation, the qubit replaces it. Unlike the classical bit, the qubit inhabits superposition until measured.

Binary logic depends upon exclusion.
Quantum logic permits coexistence.

This is not a metaphorical extension of ambiguity; it is a mathematically formalized condition. The qubit can encode exponentially richer state spaces than classical bits.

The quantum horizon therefore gestures toward a new computational paradigm in which images, data, and processes are no longer confined to discrete binary states but inhabit probabilistic amplitudes.

The difference is radical:

Binary: deterministic switching.
Quantum: probabilistic collapse.

The Collapse of the Wave Function

The notion of collapse is central. Before measurement, a quantum system exists in a range of possible states. Upon measurement, one outcome becomes actualized.

This dynamic introduces a structural analogy to perception itself. The act of observation is no longer passive; it participates in determining outcome.

Earlier layers of image history assumed a distinction between representation and observer. Even in photography and film, the observer receives a fixed image.

At the quantum horizon, observation becomes constitutive.

Reality is not simply recorded—it is partially actualized through interaction.

Indeterminacy and the Image

What would it mean for the image to reflect quantum indeterminacy?

Not merely visual ambiguity, not pixel noise or algorithmic randomness, but structural unpredictability at the level of state formation.

Quantum noise already exists in digital sensors. Random fluctuations in electron behavior contribute to image grain. What appears as technological artifact is rooted in physical indeterminacy.

Thus, the digital image—seemingly precise and binary—rests upon probabilistic micro-events.

The horizon is already present within the apparatus.

Ontological Shift: From Discrete to Probabilistic

The cave fixed animals in mineral pigment.
The canvas stabilized flesh in oil.
Photography fixed light chemically.
Film fixed time mechanically.
Digital systems fixed images numerically.

Binary fixed them logically.

The quantum horizon destabilizes fixation itself.

It suggests that beneath logic lies probability.

At this level, the universe is not a deterministic machine but a field of statistical tendencies. Stability is emergent, not fundamental.

The implications are philosophical as much as technical:

Certainty is an approximation.

Temporality Revisited

Cinema manipulated time through frame sequencing. Digital systems manipulate time through clock cycles. Binary systems operate at deterministic switching frequencies.

Quantum processes, however, are not strictly governed by classical temporal intuitions. The evolution of quantum states is continuous and deterministic under the Schrödinger equation, yet measurement outcomes are probabilistic.

This duality fractures classical temporality.

Time at the quantum level is not experienced as narrative sequence but as amplitude evolution and probabilistic resolution.

The image, when considered at this horizon, is no longer a static representation nor even a discrete computation—it is a transient manifestation of probabilistic fields.

—

The End of Absolute Foundations

Each layer of image history seemed to provide a foundation:
• Stone
• Cloth
• Emulsion
• Celluloid
• Pixel
• Bit

The quantum horizon suggests that no foundation is final.

The binary state itself depends upon physical systems that obey quantum laws. Transistors function because of quantum tunneling and electron behavior in semiconductors.

Thus, the binary layer is parasitic upon quantum indeterminacy.

Deterministic logic is an emergent phenomenon arising from probabilistic substrates.

Aesthetic Implications

The aesthetic consequences of this horizon are subtle. It does not mandate a style or technique; it alters conceptual ground.

If reality at its base is indeterminate, then representation cannot claim absolute correspondence. Images are stabilized phenomena within probabilistic universes.

Indeterminacy becomes ontological rather than expressive.

Art, at this horizon, does not depict uncertainty—it operates within it.

Epistemological Consequences

At the quantum horizon, knowledge becomes statistical. Prediction yields probability distributions rather than certainties.

This echoes transformations already visible in algorithmic culture: machine learning models generate probabilistic outputs; image synthesis relies on statistical inference.

The trajectory from binary to quantum parallels the trajectory from rule-based computation to probabilistic modeling.

Deterministic calculation gives way to likelihood estimation.

The Horizon as Limit Concept

The quantum horizon may remain technologically distant in everyday visual culture. Yet as a conceptual limit, it reframes the entire stratified history of images.

It reveals that beneath every surface lies abstraction; beneath abstraction lies logic; beneath logic lies probability.

The horizon is not a new medium but a new condition of thought.

It marks the threshold at which ontology itself becomes statistical.

Conclusion

The quantum horizon completes the trajectory from material inscription to probabilistic indeterminacy.

If the cave fixed the image, and the binary layer reduced it to minimal logical difference, the quantum horizon reveals that even difference is not absolute.

Reality at its deepest level is not 0 or 1.
It is a superposition of possibilities.

The history of the image, viewed through this stratified model, culminates not in perfect determinism but in structural uncertainty.

The image began as a mark on stone.
It became portable, mechanical, temporal, numerical, logical.
At the horizon, it becomes probabilistic.

Indeterminacy is not failure.
It is the ground from which determinacy emerges.

Beyond 0 and 1 lies not chaos, but amplitude—
a field of potential from which every image, every bit, every decision ultimately arises.

---

* Complex coefficients occur when the coefficients (the numbers before the letters) of an equation or polynomial contain complex numbers. An example of an equation with complex coefficients is $ix^2 + (1 + i)x - 4 = 0$, where the coefficients contain complex numbers such as i and $1 + i$.

References for Quoted and Referenced Materials

Chapter I — Light and Movement

• The cave image is not static in experience."
Based on research concerning Paleolithic cave painting and perceptual dynamism in:
Jean Clottes, What Is Paleolithic Art? Cave Paintings and the Dawn of Human Creativity. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
David Lewis-Williams, The Mind in the Cave: Consciousness and the Origins of Art. Thames & Hudson, 2002.

• Ritual and embodied perception of cave imagery
Maurice Merleau-Ponty, Phenomenology of Perception. Routledge, 1962.

Chapter II — The Canvas and Oil Layer

• Perspective as ontological "window"
Leon Battista Alberti, De pictura (1435).

• Renaissance pictorial space and subject formation
Erwin Panofsky, Perspective as Symbolic Form. Zone Books, 1991 (orig. 1927).

• Autonomy of painting
Clement Greenberg, "Modernist Painting," 1960.

Chapter III — The Photographic Layer

• Indexicality and photographic ontology
André Bazin, "The Ontology of the Photographic Image," in What Is Cinema? University of California Press, 1967.

• Photography as chemical trace
William Henry Fox Talbot, The Pencil of Nature, 1844–46.

• The "that-has-been"
Roland Barthes, Camera Lucida. Hill and Wang, 1981.

Chapter IV — The Film Layer

• Cinema as time-image
Gilles Deleuze, Cinema 1: The Movement-Image; Cinema 2: The Time-Image. University of Minnesota Press, 1986–1989.

• Duration and movement
Henri Bergson, Matter and Memory. 1896.

• Montage and temporal construction
Sergei Eisenstein, Film Form. Harcourt, 1949.

Chapter V — The Digital Layer

• Image as numerical representation
Lev Manovich, The Language of New Media. MIT Press, 2001.

• Technical images and apparatus theory

Vilém Flusser, Towards a Philosophy of Photography. Reaktion Books, 2000.

• Media determinacy and inscription
Friedrich Kittler, Gramophone, Film, Typewriter. Stanford University Press, 1999.

Chapter VI — The Binary Layer

• Computational logic and binary systems
Alan Turing, "On Computable Numbers, with an Application to the Entscheidungsproblem," 1936.

• Information theory
Claude Shannon, "A Mathematical Theory of Communication," 1948.
• Digital ontology and code abstraction
•
Luciano Floridi, The Philosophy of Information. Oxford University Press, 2011.

Chapter VII — The Quantum Horizon

• Quantum indeterminacy
Werner Heisenberg, "Über den anschaulichen Inhalt der quantentheoretischen Kinematik und Mechanik," 1927.

• Complementarity principle
Niels Bohr, Atomic Theory and the Description of Nature, 1934.

• Agential realism and observer participation
Karen Barad, Meeting the Universe Halfway. Duke University Press, 2007.